EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE USING UNSUPERVISED CLUSTERING
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Abstract: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive brain disorder and a very common form of dementia. Neuroimaging techniques, such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), produce detailed 3-dimensional images of the brain showing insights for amyloid deposits and inflammatory alterations as disease markers. The early diagnosis of AD using MRI provides a good chance for patients to prevent further brain deterioration by stopping the loss of nerve cells. This paper explores the use of unsupervised clustering approaches for the early diagnosis of AD. Though it is very common to use classification techniques for identifying medical diseases, the lack or the inaccuracies of labeled data can generate a problem. In this work, the k-means and k-medoids are compared while employing the Voxel Based Morphometry (VBM) features extracted from the MRI images. The effect of choosing certain local regions of interest (ROIs) for the analysis is also compared to the global whole-brain analysis. The results show that the proposed approach can perform an early diagnosis of AD with an accuracy of 76%.
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1. Introduction

In 2018, fifty million people worldwide are reported living with dementia. This number is expected to reach 152 million people by 2050 [1]. About 68% of this increase, is believed to belong to low- and middle-income countries such as Egypt [2]. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive brain disorder
and a very common form of dementia. Symptoms appear in the form of memory loss, poor language, irrational problem-solving skills, and the symptoms gradually increase. Patients will eventually be unable to do simple self-management tasks. Although age growth is one of AD's greatest risk factors, it is not considered a normal stage of aging. In the pre-clinical stage of AD, far before symptoms appear, serious brain changes occur by two proteins; beta-amyloid and tau protein. Beta-amyloid protein forms plaques disturbing cell functionality and Tau protein forms tangles blocking cell communication [1]. The two proteins reach abnormal levels in cells of AD brain patient, neurons start to die and the brain starts to shrink.

Unfortunately, AD has no cure. Only progression of the disease might be slowed, and symptoms are treated to raise the comfort and wellbeing of the patient and his family members. However, neuroimaging techniques such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Computed Tomography (CT), and Positron Emission Tomography (PET) produce detailed 3-dimensional images of the brain that show insights for amyloid deposits and inflammatory alterations [1]. MRI describes the integrity of the structures of gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) in the brain that plays a significant part in the diagnosis of AD. Morphometric methods, such as Voxel-Based Morphometry (VBM) [3], allows the automatic evaluation of GM structures of the suspected AD patients to be compared to the Normal Controls (NC) of aged seniors.

The analysis of neuroimaging techniques can be focused to extract features from certain brain regions or the whole-brain. Studies show that neuro-degeneration in AD emerges from the medial temporal lobe, starting at the entorhinal cortex and progresses to the hippocampus [5]. On the contrary to the whole-brain based approach, the ROI-based approach focuses on one of the four major lobes; the medial temporal lobe. The ROI-based approach registers MRI images to a template of pre-defined brain regions. Although the whole-brain based approach captures the whole pattern of AD by analyzing every part of the brain, practically it suffers from performance issues. The curse of dimensionality caused by the high-dimensional nature of the data in MRI reduces the accuracy and increases the run time. Pre-specifying a set of ROIs for processing rather than the whole-brain is an advantageous approach. It poses a good chance for removing noise from data resulting in better disease identification and overall run time reduction.

The high dimensional data encountered in MRI studies for the AD identification problem invited several machine learning approaches to deal with this complex nature. Supervised machine learning uses labeled datasets for training. The underlying learning process analyzes the dataset and builds a function that can be used in the identification. Supervised learning can be viewed as a general term for the classification technique [4]. However, the labeled data used in the training process requires the intervention of a domain expert for the labeling process. The efficiency of the classifiers highly depends on the quality of the labeling process which may be erroneous and time-consuming. On the other hand, unsupervised learning uses an unlabeled dataset. The learning process depends on modeling the structure and finding patterns within the data. Unsupervised learning can be viewed as a general term for the clustering technique. Clustering is not considered an alternative for classification. Despite the low accuracy that clustering techniques may normally achieve compared to classification techniques, it has the power that it is able to discover early key patterns in the data. The advantage of using clustering lies in the automated preliminary insight that the process can provide without any expert intervention. This can give insights for the early diagnosis of diseases at lower costs.
This paper studies the use of unsupervised clustering approaches, k-means and k-medoids, for the early diagnosis of AD applied on VBM features extracted from MRI. The effect of choosing certain local regions of interest ROIs for analysis compared to the global whole-brain analysis is also introduced and studied.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows; the related work presented in the literature for the identification of AD is discussed in Section 2, focusing on the clustering approaches for disease identification. Section 3 describes the scientific approaches and methods used in this study. Section 4 presents the data encountered in the study and explores the experimental results obtained. Finally, Section 5 concludes the findings and results of the paper.

2. Related Work

Several supervised and unsupervised learning techniques are proposed in the literature to classify AD patients from NC. Unsupervised clustering techniques proved robustness in identifying clusters of homogeneous AD patients. Hany et al. in [24] studied several clustering techniques and discussed their ability to help in the treatment of AD patients. The study found that clustering techniques can provide useful discriminating features to detect the conversion from early to advanced stages in AD. Escudero et al. in [25] proposed the development of a bioprofile that includes key patterns for the diagnosis of certain diseases in the patients’ biodata. The research used k-means clustering technique on ADNI dataset and succeeded to differentiate between two groups pathologic/non-pathologic with an accuracy of 69%. The results of Escudero et al. study are compared to the results obtained in this paper by the proposed clustering approach. G. Tosto et al. in [26] searched for clusters of extrapyramidal signs progression and its development over time to diagnose AD. The study is applied on the data of more than three thousand AD patients from NACC database. It used k-means and was able to identify three clusters of various EPS burden degrees.

Many studies used clustering for an early diagnosis. D. Kar and S. Halder combined unsupervised k-means clustering with supervised classification for the early detection of brain tumor [36]. Their non-invasive technique analyzed liquid biopsy and used k-means for image processing of CSF. They used Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) for tumor classification. Çiklaçandir et al. used k-means for the early detection of breast cancer in [15]. The study proposed a system for identifying the lesion in the breast. K-means-mode was applied by Paul and Hoque in [27] to predict the likelihood of diseases. They tested their approach on medical dataset of diabetes and achieved 95% accuracy. Gamberger, D. et al. in [28] used multi-layer clustering to identify subpopulations of patients that have homogeneous clinical and biological markers. They concluded that viewing the gender of the suspected patient as a significant descriptor could lead to better effectiveness in the treatment process. The hierarchical agglomerative clustering is another type of clustering technique and is used in several studies. In [29], Y. Noh et al. applied hierarchical agglomerative clustering on MRI of early-stage AD patients to measure the cortical thickness. Their research found three clusters of AD patients with different and distinct clinical features. In [30], A. Cappa et al. used hierarchical agglomerative clustering to identify the neuropathological changes of AD.
Supervised classification techniques such as SVM proved reliability and robustness in AD/MCI classification as well. Researchers used SVM alone [12] and with other techniques. A. Demirhan in [13] used NN, KNN, and SVM to differentiate between AD and its mild form from NC. The study concluded that SVM was the best classifier among the three with an achieved accuracy reaching 82%. The Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS) database was used in the study. k. Hackmack et al. used wavelet transform in [14] and proposed a multivariate analysis of sMRI. The study created a feature set composed of scale, directionality, and local information extracted using dual-tree complex wavelet transform.

Deep Learning has a tremendous improvement effect on different science areas over the past few years. Several works have been made to identify AD patients using deep neural network architectures. CNN was widely used for classifying AD and its prodromal stages. Weiming et al. in [22] designed CNN to predict mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD conversion using MRI. Islam et al. in [23] presented CNN for identifying different stages of AD by analyzing MRI data. They identified four AD classes; non-demented, very mild, mild, and moderate AD.

For evaluating the discriminating power of different ROIs over the whole-brain approach, R. Cuingnet et al. in [11] compared ten methods and succeeded to identify between NC, AD, MCI converters, and MCI non-converters. The study included three different types of analysis approaches; five voxel-based, three vertex-based, and two ROI-based. The voxel based methods used the whole-brain for the VBM analysis. The vertex-based methods used the cortical thickness region whereas the two ROI-based approaches used the hippocampus region in the analysis.

The majority of the analytical approaches that are proposed in the literature use features extracted from MRI and other neuroimaging modalities such as CT. VBM approach has been extensively used in the identification of AD using various classification techniques [8-9]. D. Schmitter et al. compared volume-based morphometry approach and the whole-brain VBM approach for AD/MCI classification in [10]. The study in [7] applied volumetric measurements of ROI; where a combined approach of wrapped classification and image segmentation is presented for determining the ROIs from MRI.

Neuroimaging techniques have helped scientists in their research either when each technique is used separately or by combining their strengths. The work presented by [34] combines three neuroimaging techniques; CSF biomarkers, FDG-PET, and MRI. In this study, Zhang et al. classified AD, MCI, and NC using a kernel combination. The study made by Qing Li et al. also used multi-neuroimaging modalities including FDG, sMRI, florbetapir-PET, and PET to classify AD, cognitively unimpaired, and MCI [35]. Their study used discriminant dictionary learning.

3. Unsupervised Clustering Approach for AD Diagnosis

The proposed framework of the unsupervised clustering approach used for AD diagnosis is initially supplied with MRI dataset. The Dataset undergoes a pre-processing stage to adjust its flipping, MNI alignment, and ACC positioning. The pre-processed images are supplied to the VBM analysis block where morphometric features are extracted. For exploring the significance of different anatomical ROIs, a masking process is applied on the obtained feature vector where certain ROIs are extracted for further analysis and compared to the whole-brain features.

Images are finally clustered using the ROI or the whole-brain feature vector into two clusters: AD patient or NC. The block diagram of the framework is illustrated in Figure 1.
3.1 MRI Pre-Processing

Before applying VBM analysis, MRI dataset is pre-processed to ensure the images are in a suitable form for processing. Images need to be flipped in the right direction and aligned with Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) standard space. MNI formed a new standard template by aligning a large number of brain series scans of young healthy normal subjects. MRI dataset is aligned to MNI space by automatically aligning them to this template.

The Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC) in all images has to be positioned close to the center of the MRI image i.e. (0,0,0). Unfortunately, this step is done manually for all the images in the dataset. Figure 2 illustrates an MRI with a centered ACC. The MRI images have to be in the NIfTI file format. This format is widely used in imaging informatics for neuroscience. The MRI image in this format contains metadata and voxels that can be in any dimension up to seven dimensions. MRI images used in this work are in three dimensions.

3.2 Voxel-Based Morphometry Analysis

VBM examines the primary variations in the structure of the brain. It can be applied to any anatomical scale such as GM, WM, or CSF density. It can effectively measure anatomical atrophy and its expansion. VBM of MRI data involves four processes: segmenting images where GM, WM, and CSF images are extracted, registering the segmented images to estimate the deformations that best align them together, performing spatial normalization to the registered images to the same stereotactic space and then smoothing these normalized images, and finally localizing the variations in the smoothed images by applying a statistical analysis [31]. The statistical analysis output is a parametric map highlighting regions in the image where GM concentration significantly varies between sets. Details of VBM implementation are found in [33].
3.3 Region of Interest (ROI) Masking

At the final point in the statistical analysis of the VBM features analysis, the pre-processed MRI dataset undergoes a statistical t-test as shown in Figure 1. The statistical analysis reveals the important regions of the whole-brain in which GM loss varies between AD and NC. Figure 3 illustrates the GM loss in the cerebellum region in patient brain compared to a normal brain. ROI binary masks are applied on the whole-brain to extract ROIs. ROI masking process specified eight regions for extraction: hippocampus, cerebellum left, cerebellum right, medulla, calcarine, pons, occipital lobe, and frontal lobe. Two feature vectors are ready for clustering; the ROI-based feature vector and the whole-brain feature vector.

![Figure 3: Cerebellum Region of Interest](image)

3.4 Unsupervised Clustering Techniques
Unsupervised learning uses unlabeled dataset. The learning process depends on modeling the structure and finding patterns within the data. Unsupervised learning includes two major types: clustering techniques and association rules. In clustering techniques, the goal is to form clusters where homogeneous data are grouped in, whereas, in association rules, sets of rules are formed that try to describe the data. K-means and k-medoids algorithms are examples of clustering techniques. The proposed framework illustrated in Figure 1 used k-means and k-medoids for clustering.

3.4.1 K-means

K-means is a centroid-based partitioning technique. The algorithm uses the centroid of each cluster to represent that cluster. k-means initialize the centroids of k clusters with random items of the dataset. These randomly selected items represent clusters centroids. For each remaining item i in the dataset D, the algorithm calculates the Euclidean distance between this item and each cluster centroid c. The item is assigned to the cluster of the centroid c of the smallest Euclidean distance. After each iteration, the centroid of each cluster is updated by the mean value of all items belonging to this cluster. All items in all clusters are reassigned after the update to the nearest centroid. The iterations and the update of the centroid continue until all the clusters remain stable. Equation (1) explains the objective function J of k-means algorithm where k is the number of clusters, n is the total number of items in the dataset, x is a dataset item, and c is the cluster centroid. Equation (1) calculates the distance using a Euclidean distance function.

\[ J = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| x_i^{(j)} - c_j \right\|^2 \]  

The algorithm tries to form compact and separate clusters. The items in the dataset are distributed into k clusters with an objective function that maximizes the similarity between items of the same cluster and minimizes the similarity to other items in other clusters. However, the algorithm remains very sensitive to the outlier items in the dataset. These items are dissimilar from the majority of items in the dataset. Assigning this outlier to a cluster will cause distortion to the mean value of the cluster centroid which in turn affects the assignment of other items to this cluster. K-medoids algorithm proposed a good solution to this problem.

3.4.2 K-medoids

The algorithm redefined the centroid of the cluster. Actual items in the dataset are used as the cluster centroid instead of calculating the mean value of all the items in the cluster. The remaining items in the dataset are assigned to the cluster of which they are most similar to its ‘actual item’ centroid.

In the proposed clustering block illustrated in Figure 1, the cosine distance function is used to compute the distance between dataset items and the centroids. Cosine function is shown in Equation (2) where d is the distance between X and Y items.

\[ d = \frac{X \cdot Y}{\|X\| \|Y\|} \]  

4. Experiments and Results
4.1 Dataset

Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database is used in this work [34]. In 2004, ADNI was developed to help in the early diagnosis of AD by providing chemical biomarkers, genetics data, clinical data, PET and MRI image data. MRI neuroimaging technique suffers from intensity non-uniformity which degrade the quality of the acquired data. The data intensity varies in an irrelevant pattern. The pulse sequence of the acquisition and the radio-frequency coil are both responsible for this problem. The ADNI images have the advantage of being pre-processed for a reduced intensity non-uniformity after applying the N3 histogram peak sharpening algorithm.

The demographic information of MRI studied subjects is shown in Table 1. A total of 275 MRI images are studied, including 113 NC and 162 AD patients. Almost two-third of the NC cases are males while less than half of the AD cases are males. The age mean is almost the same in both groups NC and AD. However, standard deviation of the AD group is higher than the NC group. The Mean value for Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for both groups is almost similar with a lower standard deviation value for AD group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Control</th>
<th>Alzheimer’s Disease Patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Number</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>72/41</td>
<td>71/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: μ(σ)</td>
<td>77.49 (5.88)</td>
<td>73.82 (7.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE: μ(σ)</td>
<td>25.74 (7.74)</td>
<td>21.54 (3.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Experimental Work

The performance tests of the two clustering approaches are conducted on the whole-brain features and the ROIs features as illustrated in Figure 1. Two measures are used to evaluate the clustering quality of k-means and k-medoids, Accuracy and Rand Index. Accuracy is calculated by the formula shown in equation (3) and Rand Index is calculated by the formula shown in equation (4) where TP, TN, P, and N refer to the number of samples representing true positive, true negative, positive, and negative respectively.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{P + N} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Rand Index} = \frac{(TP + TN)}{(TP + TN + P + N)} \quad (4)
\]

4.2.1 Performance Tests of k-means and k-medoids on Whole-Brain

Table 2 demonstrates the accuracy, rand Index, and run time results obtained by k-means and k-medoids clustering on the whole-brain features. k-means obtained slightly better results than k-medoids in terms of accuracy and rand Index. However, k-medoids consumed about double the run time of k-means. The
proposed clustering approach is compared to the results obtained by Escudero et al. in [25]. The achieved accuracy of the proposed approach is better by 7\% than the study in [25].

Table 2: Performance Results of k-means and k-medoids Clustering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>k-means</th>
<th>k-medoids</th>
<th>k-means by Escudero et al. in [25]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>75.27%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rand Index</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run Time (sec)</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>82.13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Performance Tests of k-means and k-medoids on ROIs

Eight brain regions are defined as ROIs. The regions include hippocampus, cerebellum left, cerebellum right, medulla, calcarine, pons, occipital lobe, and frontal lobe and are grouped as follows:

- **ROI1** includes the hippocampus region only.
- **ROI2** includes cerebellum right, cerebellum left, and the hippocampus.
- **ROI3** includes calcarine, cerebellum right, cerebellum left, and the hippocampus.
- **ROI4** includes frontal lobe, calcarine, cerebellum right, cerebellum left, and the hippocampus.
- **ROI5** includes pons, occipital lobe, medulla, frontal lobe, calcarine, cerebellum right, cerebellum left, and the hippocampus.

The accuracy and run time results of measuring the effect of analyzing these ROIs instead of the whole-brain on k-means are illustrated in Figure 4 and 6 respectively. Figure 5 and 7 illustrate the accuracy and run time results obtained by k-medoids on the same ROIs respectively. The results of analyzing ROI failed to obtain higher accuracy than the whole-brain demonstrated in Table 2. The average accuracy obtained by both clustering approaches on all ROIs is 60\%. ROI5 obtained the best accuracy using k-means and ROI3 obtained the best accuracy using k-medoids. The Figures show the run time increases from ROI1 to ROI5 due to the increase in the feature vector size. However, the measurements of the run time of ROIs remain far less than the measurements obtained by the whole-brain demonstrated in Table 2. The maximum ROI run time obtained by k-means is less than 4 seconds and by k-medoids is less than 7 seconds. The whole-brain approach consumes more than 40 seconds by k-means and more than 80 seconds in k-medoids. Despite the remarkable drop in the accuracy obtained by analyzing ROI, it presents a decrease in the run time by 13\% compared to the whole-brain.
The work presented in this paper is executed on a 64-bit Intel i5 processor with a memory size of 11.5GB running on Ubuntu 18.04.5.

### 4.3 Discussion

k-medoids is considered more robust than k-means if the data contains noise or outliers. However, the complexity of k-medoid is much higher than k-means. The size of the dataset and the number of clusters used in this study are not large which makes k-means consumes less time than k-medoids. Moreover,
the nature of the gray image MRI dataset does not contain any outliers which makes applying k-medoids less advantageous than k-means. This explains the obtained results which show that k-means achieved higher accuracy than k-medoids and in shorter run time.

The analysis of the whole-brain features obtained far better results than the analysis of the ROI features. The clustering approach used in the analysis made use of every key pattern in the brain MRI image to discover the intrinsic grouping inside the data. However, the ROI results are still accepted in an early detection diagnosis as it consumed less run time than whole-brain.

The accuracy obtained by classification techniques normally exceeds 80% in many studies. However, this high accuracy comes at the expense of time and effort used by domain experts to label the training datasets. K-means and k-medoids used in this work were able to achieve only 4% lower accuracy than other classification techniques suggesting that clustering techniques are able to provide a good reliable preliminary insight for early diagnosis as well as saving the time and effort of domain experts.

5. Conclusion

The work presented in this paper explores the advantages of using unsupervised clustering approaches, k-means and k-medoids, for the early diagnosis of AD. The proposed framework applied the clustering approaches on Voxel Based Morphometry features extracted from MRI. The achieved results show that k-means obtained slightly higher accuracy than k-medoids and consumed nearly half of the k-medoids run time. The paper explores also the effect of choosing certain local regions of interest ROIs for analysis compared to the global whole-brain analysis. The whole-brain approach achieved more than 10% higher accuracy than the ROI approach. However, the run time of the ROI approach is 13% lower than the whole-brain approach. The proposed approach managed successfully to obtain an early AD diagnosis with an accuracy of 76%. The clustering techniques used in the proposed approach provided an automated preliminary insight discovering early key patterns in the data with reliable accuracy.
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